# Advanced Functional Programming TDA342/DIT260

Tuesday, March 19th, 2019, Samhällsbyggnad, 8:30 (4hs)

Alejandro Russo, tel. 0729744968

• The maximum amount of points you can score on the exam: 60 points. The grade for the exam is as follows:

Chalmers: 3: 24 - 35 points, 4: 36 - 47 points, 5: 48 - 60 points. GU: Godkänd 24-47 points, Väl godkänd 48-60 points PhD student: 36 points to pass.

- Results: within 21 days.
- Permitted materials (Hjälpmedel): Dictionary (Ordlista/ordbok).

You may bring up to two pages (on one A4 sheet of paper) of pre-written notes — a "summary" sheet". These notes may be typed or handwritten. They may be from any source. If this summary sheet is brought to the exam it must also be handed in with the exam (so make a copy if you want to keep it).

• Notes:

- Read through the paper first and plan your time.
- Answers preferably in English, some assistants might not read Swedish.
- If a question does not give you all the details you need, you may make reasonable assumptions. Your assumptions must be clearly stated. If your solution only works under certain conditions, state them.
- Start each of the questions on a new page.
- The exact syntax of Haskell is not so important as long as the graders can understand the intended meaning. If you are unsure just put in an explanation of your notation.
- Hand in the summary sheet (if you brought one) with the exam solutions.
- As a recommendation, consider spending around 40 minutes per exercise. However, this is only a recommendation.
- To see your exam: by appointment (send email to Alejandro Russo)

## Problem 1: (Monad transformers)

As described by the lectures, when constructing monads using the state  $(StateT)$  and the error  $(Except T)$  monad transformers, it is important to determine the order in which you apply them since such decision affects the semantics of your monad. To illustrate this point, we start by showing the following monad:

newtype Monad1  $a = MkMonad1$  (StateT Int (ExceptT String Identity) a) deriving (Functor, Applicative, Monad, MonadState Int, MonadError String)  $runMonad1 :: Monad1 \ a \rightarrow Either String \ a$ runMonad1 (MkMonad1 st) = runIdentity (runExceptT (evalStateT st 0))

Monad Monad1 has been built using the  $Except T$  on the *inside* and then applying  $State T$  on top. In contrast, the following monad has ExceptT on the outside.

newtype Monad2  $a = MkMonad2$  (Except T String (State T Int Identity) a) deriving (Functor, Applicative, Monad, MonadState Int, MonadError String)  $runMonad2::Monad2 a \rightarrow Either String a$ runMonad2 (MkMonad2 er) = runIdentity (evalStateT (runExceptT er) 0)

Your task is to write a piece of code that shows the difference of the semantics when being considered as a *Monad1* or *Monad2* computation. That is, you should look for a piece of code  $t$ such that the following holds:

runMonad1  $t \not\equiv runMonad2$  t

(12p)

# Problem 2: (Optimization)

Consider the following implementation that computes the average on a list of integers.

 $average::[Int] \rightarrow Int$ average  $xs = sum\ xs\ 'div\ 'length\ xs$  $sum \ [$   $]$   $=$   $[$   $]$  $sum(x:xs) = x + sum xs$  $length \begin{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix} = 0$ length  $(x : xs) = 1 + length xs$ 

This function traverses the list twice, once to compute the sum and another time to compute the length of it. To reduce the number of passes, we can apply the technique of tupling. This technique consists of obtaining, by equational reasoning, a function that traverse the list once while computing two results. In our case, we need to obtain the definition of a function, let's call it sumlen ::  $[Int] \rightarrow (Int, Int)$ , such that the following equation holds.

sumlen  $xs \equiv (sum \; xs, length \; xs)$ 

Your task is to obtain the definition of *sumlen* by means of equational reasoning so that you can be certain that your definition fulfills the equation above. You should then implement average using sumlen.

(12p)

#### Problem 3: (Verification)

We have two known functions to fold over a list with an operator, i.e., to intercalate an operator among the elements of a list.

 $fold: (b \rightarrow a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow b \rightarrow [a] \rightarrow b$  $foldl \oplus e [$  = e foldl  $\oplus$  e  $(x : xs) =$  foldl  $\oplus$   $(e \oplus x)$  xs  $foldr :: (a \rightarrow b \rightarrow b) \rightarrow b \rightarrow [a] \rightarrow b$  $foldr \oplus e []=e$ foldr  $\oplus$  e  $(x : xs) = x \oplus (foldr \oplus exs)$ 

Let's see some examples:

 $foldr (+) 0 [42, 100, 500, 700] \equiv 42 + (100 + (500 + (700 + 0)))$ foldl (+) 0 [42, 100, 500, 700]  $\equiv (((0 + 42) + 100) + 500) + 700)$ 

As you see above, the operator  $(+)$  has been intercalated among the elements of the list. The difference between *foldr* and *foldl* is where the parentheses are placed. In *foldr*, the parentheses are placed towards the right, while in foldl towards the left—therefore the names! In the example above, both functions arrive at the same result since  $(+)$  is associative and 0 is the neutral element. More generally, we have the following formal result:

**Theorem** Given an associative operator  $\oplus$  with neutral element e, it holds that foldr  $\oplus$  e xs  $\equiv$ foldl  $\oplus$  e xs.

Your task is to prove the theorem.

(12p)

# Problem 4: (Type level programming)

In this exercise, we will implement heterogeneous lists in Haskell, i.e., lists where the elements can have different types!

We start by assuming that we have the  $DataKinds$  extension enable, which gives us type-level lists, i.e., we have the types  $[$ , 42 :  $[$ , etc. Now, we will use the power of GADTs to introduce heterogeneous lists.

```
data HList xs where
  HNil::...(:::): :: ...
```
a) Your task is to complete the type signatures for HNil and (:::). Your implementation should be able to implement the following examples.

 $ex1 :: HList [Char, Integer, Double, [Integer]]$  $ex1 = 'a' :: 42 :: 1.0 :: [42] :: HNil$  $ex2 :: HList [[Double], Char]$  $ex2 = [1.0] :: 'b' :: HNil$ 

(6p)

b) We can now build heterogeneous lists, but we cannot show them. In order to show them on the screen, we need to have instances of *Show* for *HList xs*. Your task is to provide instances for Show.

> ex1 'a' ::: 42 ::: 1.0 ::: [42] ::: HNil > ex2  $[1.0]$  ::: 'b' ::: HNil

(6p)

## Problem 5: (Monads transformers)

In the lectures and in the last lab this year, we show how information-flow control (IFC) is a promising technology to guarantee confidentiality of data when manipulated by untrusted code (i.e., code written by someone else) as well as buggy code (i.e., code written perhaps by ourselves or a colleague).

To build secure programs which do not leak secrets, we studied a small EDSL in Haskell with two core concepts: labeled values and secure computations. The following code is the core implementation of the MAC library given in the lectures:

```
{-Points in the security lattice -}
data L
data H
 {-Order relationship of the lattice -}
class l \sqsubseteq l' where
instance L \subseteq L where
instance L \subseteq H where
instance H \subseteq H where
 {-Labeled values -}
newtype Labeled l a = Labeled a
 {-Secure computation with underlying IO actions -}
newtype MAC \mid a = MkMAC \text{ (IO a)}{-Functor, Applicative, and Monad instances -}
instance Function (MAC I) where
  fmap f (MkMAC io) = MkMAC (fmap f io)
instance Applicative (MAC 1) where
  pure = MkMAC \circ return(\ll\gg) (MkMAC f) (MkMAC a) = MkMAC (f \ll\gg a)
instance Monad (MAC l) where
  return = pureMkMAC \, m \gg k = MkMAC \, (m \gg m n MAC \circ k){-Primitive combinators -}
runMAC :: MAC \, l \, a \rightarrow IO \, arunMAC(MkMAC m) = mlabel :: l ⊡ l' ⇒ a → MAC l (Labeled l' a)label v = return (Labeled v)unlabel :: l ⊆ l' \Rightarrow Labeled \, l \, a \rightarrow MAC \, l' \, aunlabel (Labeled v) = return v
joinMAC :: l \sqsubseteq l' \Rightarrow MAC \ l' \ a \rightarrow MAC \ l (Labeled \ l' \ a)joinMAC \ m = MkMAC \ (runMAC \ m) \gg label
```
Above, the constructors MkMAC and Labeled are never exported so that users of the DSL cannot break its abstraction. In this exercise, you will need to create a monad transformer for  $MAC$ , which we call  $MACT$ .

data MACT m l a

The idea is that when applying  $MACT$  to a monad m, then we obtain a monad capable to perform the effects of m as well as keeping sensitive information secret. For instance, MACT l (State s) a is a secure state monad with state s.

a) Your task is to give the instances for Functor, Applicative and Monad for MACT  $l$  m for your implementation.

(8p)

b) Since MACT l m might create many security monads, e.g., MACT l Identity a, MACT l (State s) a, MACT l IO a, that means that there would be many implementation of label, unlabel, and join. In this light, we need to overload such operators and we do so in the following type class.

class MACMonad m where label′  $:: \text{Less} E q \text{ } l \text{ } l' \Rightarrow a \rightarrow m \text{ } l \text{ } (\text{Labeled } l' \text{ } a)$ unlabel' :: LessEq l l'  $\Rightarrow$  Labeled l a  $\rightarrow$  m l' a join′ :: LessEq l l'  $\Rightarrow$  m l' a  $\rightarrow$  m l (Labeled l' a)

Observe that we have "primed" the operators so that there is no name clashing with the code in part a), i.e., you see *label'* rather than *label*.

Your task is to provide the instance of MACMonad m when m is obtained by applying your monad transformer  $MACT$  l to the an arbitrary monad m. In other words, you should provide the code for the following instance:

instance Monad  $m \Rightarrow MACMonad$  (MACT m) where

(4p)