Advanced Functional Programming TDA342/DIT260

Tuesday, March 15, 2016, Hörsalsvägen (yellow brick building), 8:30-12:30.

Alejandro Russo, tel. 031 772 6156

• The maximum amount of points you can score on the exam: 60 points. The grade for the exam is as follows:

Chalmers: **3**: 24 - 35 points, **4**: 36 - 47 points, **5**: 48 - 60 points.

GU: Godkänd 24-47 points, Väl godkänd 48-60 points

PhD student: 36 points to pass.

- Results: within 21 days.
- Permitted materials (Hjälpmedel): Dictionary (Ordlista/ordbok).

You may bring up to two pages (on one A4 sheet of paper) of pre-written notes – a "summary sheet". These notes may be typed or handwritten. They may be from any source. If this summary sheet is brought to the exam it must also be handed in with the exam (so make a copy if you want to keep it).

• Notes:

- Read through the paper first and plan your time.
- Answers preferably in English, some assistants might not read Swedish.
- If a question does not give you all the details you need, you may make reasonable assumptions. Your assumptions must be clearly stated. If your solution only works under certain conditions, state them.
- Start each of the questions on a new page.
- The exact syntax of Haskell is not so important as long as the graders can understand the intended meaning. If you are unsure just put in an explanation of your notation.
- Hand in the summary sheet (if you brought one) with the exam solutions.
- As a recommendation, consider spending around 1h 20 minutes per exercise. However, this is only a recommendation.
- To see your exam: by appointment (send email to Alejandro Russo)

Functor type-class Identity class Functor
$$c$$
 where $fmap :: (a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow c$ $a \rightarrow c$ b $fmap \ id \equiv id$ where $id = \lambda x \rightarrow x$
$$\text{Map fusion}$$
 $fmap \ (f \circ g) \equiv fmap \ f \circ fmap \ g$

Figure 1: Functors

Problem 1: (Functors) As its name implies, a binary tree is a tree with a two-way branching structure, i.e., a left and a right sub tree. In Haskell, such trees can be defined as follows.

data Tree a where

Leaf :: $a \rightarrow Tree \ a$ Node :: Tree $a \rightarrow Tree \ a \rightarrow Tree \ a$

a) Show that Tree a is a functor. For that, you should provide an instance for the Functor type-class and prove that fmap for finite trees, i.e., fmap :: $(a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow Tree \ a \rightarrow Tree \ b$, fulfills the laws for functors – see Figure 1.

<u>Important</u>: Assume that f and g are total, i.e., they do not raise any errors or loop indefinitely when applied to an argument. If your proof is by induction, you should indicate induction on what (e.g., in the length of the list). Justify every step in your proof.

(8p)

b) As with lists, it is also useful to "fold" over trees. Given a tree t with elements e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_n and an operator \oplus , folding over the tree t with operator \oplus intuitively means to *intercalate* the operator among the elements of the tree, i.e., $e_1 \oplus e_2 \oplus e_3 \oplus \ldots \oplus e_n$. For simplicity, we assume that the operator \oplus is always associative. We call the function implementing folding over trees fold T.

$$foldT :: (a \rightarrow a \rightarrow a) \rightarrow Tree \ a \rightarrow a$$

By using foldT, we can now express a bunch of useful functions on trees.

$$P_{1}$$

$$height_tree = foldT \ (\lambda l \ r \to max \ l \ r+1) \circ fmap \ (const \ 0)$$

$$P_{2}$$

$$sum_tree = foldT \ (+)$$

$$P_{3}$$

$$leaves = foldT \ (++) \circ fmap \ (\lambda x \to [x])$$

Program P_1 computes the height of a tree. Program P_2 sums all the numbers in a tree. Program P_3 extracts all the elements of a tree.

Your task is to implement fold T. (4p)

c) There is a relation between mapping functions over trees' leaves and lists. More specifically, we have the following equation for finite and well-defined trees.

 $map \ f \circ leaves \equiv leaves \circ fmap \ f$

It is the same to first extract the leaves and then map the function (left-hand side), as it is to map the function first and then extracting the leaves (right-hand side).

Your task is to prove that the equation holds.

You can assume the following properties and definition for this exercise and the rest of the exam!

rest of the exam!

(.) Assoc. (.) (ID LEFT) (ID RIGHT) (ETA)

$$(f \circ g) \ x = f \ (g \ x) \ (f \circ g) \circ z = f \circ (g \circ z) \quad id \circ f = f \quad f \circ id = f \quad \lambda x \to f \ x \equiv f$$

(CONS.0) ((#).0) ((#).1)

 $x : [] = [x]$ [] # $ys = ys$ ($x : xs$) # $ys = x : (xs + ys)$

(Assoc. (#)) ($map.0$) ($map.1$)

 $xs + (ys + zs) \equiv (xs + ys) + zs$ $map \ f \ [] = []$ $map \ f \ (x : xs) = f \ x : map \ f \ xs$

You cannot assume any property that relates (++), map, and fmap – if you need such properties, you should prove them too! (8p)

```
class Monad m where Left Identity Right Identity return :: a \to m a return x \gg f \equiv f x m \gg return \equiv m (\gg) :: m \ a \to (a \to m \ b) \to m \ b

Associativity (x does not appear in k_1 and k_2) (m \gg k_1) \gg k_2 \equiv m \gg (\lambda x \to k_1 \ x \gg k_2)
```

Figure 2: Monads

Problem 2. (Monads) During the lectures we said that a data type m is a monad if we can define the primitives return and (\gg), and that m fulfills the monadic laws – see Figure 2. There is, however, an alternative interface for monads described as follows.

```
class MonadAlternative \ m where return' :: a \to m \ a join \ :: m \ (m \ a) \to m \ a fmap' \ :: (a \to b) \to m \ a \to m \ b A_1 \qquad A_2 \qquad A_3 \qquad join \circ fmap' \ f \circ return' \equiv return' \circ f A_2 \qquad A_3 \qquad join \circ return' \equiv id A_4 \qquad A_5 \qquad join \circ fmap' \ join \equiv join \circ join A_5 \qquad join \circ fmap' \ f \circ join
```

This interface requires m to be a functor and introduces an operation called join. Furthermore, return', join, and fmap' are required to obey various different laws.

a) Your task consists of showing that the alternative interface is enough to implement return and (\gg). In other words, if you define return', fmap', and join for certain data type m, then you can show that m is an instance of the type-class Monad in Haskell. You should provide the following type-class instance:

```
instance MonadAlternative \ m \Rightarrow Monad \ m \ where
return = ...
(\gg) = ...
(6p)
```

b) Assuming the laws for the alternative monadic interface, you should show that the implementation that you gave in the previous question is indeed a monad in the traditional sense, i.e. it fulfills the laws from Figure 2. (14p)

Problem 3: (**EDSL**) *Information-flow control* (IFC) is a promising technology to guarantee confidentiality of data when manipulated by untrusted code, i.e. code written by someone else.

In IFC, data gets classified either as public (low) or secret (high), where public information can flow into secret entities but not vice versa. We encode the sensitivity of data as abstract data types, and the allowed flows of information in the type-class CanFlowTo – see Figure 3.

To build secure programs which do not leak secrets, we build a small EDSL in Haskell with two core concepts: labeled values and secure computations. Labeled values are simply data tagged with a security level indicating its sensitivity. For example, a weather report is a public piece of data, so we can model it as a public labeled string weather_report::Labeled L String. Sim-

- -- Security level for public data ${\bf data}\ L$
- -- Security level for secret data $\operatorname{\mathbf{data}} H$
- -- allowed flows of information class *l* '*CanFlowTo*' *l*' where
- -- Public data can flow into public entities instance L 'CanFlowTo' L where
- -- Public data can flow into secret entities instance *L 'CanFlowTo' H* where
- -- Secret data can flow into secret entities instance *H* '*CanFlowTo*' *H* where

Figure 3: Allowed flows of information

ilarly, a credit card number is sensitive, so we model it as a secret integer cc_number :: Labeled H Integer.

A secure computation is an entity of type $MAC\ l\ a$, which denotes a computation that handles data at sensitivity level l and produces a result (of type a) of this level. In order to remain secure, secure computations can only observe data that "can flow to" the computation (see primitive unlabel below), and can only create labeled values provided that information from the computation "can flow to" the newly created labeled value (see primitive label below). We describe the API for the EDSL in Figure 4, and provide a deep-embedded implementation for the API in Figure 5.

a) Your task is to take the implementation in Figure 5 and obtain an "intermediate embedding" by removing Bind from the MAC l a data type. As a result, runMAC will no longer run Bind; instead, the defintion of (≫) will change. After your modifications, it is important to show that you can faithfully implement the whole EDSL API.

<u>Important</u>: If you alter the definition of $MAC\ l\ a$, or any other function in the deepembedded implementation, you need to show that your modifications are correct by deriving them.

Help: You can assume that runMAC $(m \gg f) \equiv runMAC$ $m \gg runMAC \circ f$ (12p)

```
-- Types
newtype Labeled l a
             MAC l a
data
   -- Labeled values
label
             :: (l `CanFlowTo` l') \Rightarrow a \rightarrow MAC \ l \ (Labeled \ l' \ a)
             :: (l' `CanFlowTo` l) \Rightarrow Labeled l' a \rightarrow MAC l a
unlabel
   -- MAC monad
             :: a \to MAC \ l \ a
return
(≥=)
             :: MAC \ l \ a \rightarrow (a \rightarrow MAC \ l \ b) \rightarrow MAC \ l \ b
joinMAC :: (l `CanFlowTo` l') \Rightarrow MAC l' a \rightarrow MAC l (Labeled l' a)
   -- Run function
runMAC :: MAC \ l \ a \rightarrow IO \ a
```

Figure 4: EDSL API

```
-- Types
newtype Labeled \ l \ a = MkLabeled \ a
data MAC \ l \ a where
          :: (l `CanFlowTo` l') \Rightarrow Labeled l' a \rightarrow MAC l (Labeled l' a)
  Unlabel :: (l' `CanFlowTo` l) \Rightarrow Labeled l' a \rightarrow MAC l a
           :: (l `CanFlowTo` l') \Rightarrow MAC l' a \rightarrow MAC l (Labeled l' a)
  Join
  Return :: a \rightarrow MAC \ l \ a
           :: MAC \ l \ a \rightarrow (a \rightarrow MAC \ l \ b) \rightarrow MAC \ l \ b
  -- Labeled values
           = Label \circ MkLabeled
label
unlabel
           = Unlabel
  -- MAC operations
joinMAC = Join
instance Monad (MAC l) where
  return = Return
  (\gg) = Bind
  -- Run function
runMAC (Label lv)
                                      = return lv
runMAC (Unlabel (MkLabeled v)) = return v
runMAC (Join mac_-a)
                                      = runMAC \ mac\_a \gg return \circ MkLabeled
runMAC (Return a)
                                      = return a
runMAC (Bind mac f)
                                      = runMAC \ mac \gg runMAC \circ f
```

Figure 5: Deep-embedded implemention

b) We would like to add the function *output* to the EDSL in order to print out messages. Ideally, we will have two output channels, one for public data and one for secret values. However, for simplicity, we assume that we have only one output channel: the screen. To mimic having two output channels, however, we will pre-append some text to indicate on which channel data is being sent. See the functions *add_location* and *print_cc* below.

```
-- outputting in a secret channel
  -- outputting in a public channel
                                                           print\_cc :: Labeled \ H \ Int \rightarrow MAC \ H \ ()
add\_location :: Labeled \ L \ String \rightarrow MAC \ L \ ()
                                                           print_{-}cc\ lcc = \mathbf{do}
add\_location\ lstr = \mathbf{do}
                                                              number \leftarrow unlabel\ lcc
  str \leftarrow unlabel\ lstr
                                                                       \leftarrow label ("CC number "
                                                              msq
  msg \leftarrow label (str + "Gothenburg")
                                                                                   ++ show number)
            :: MAC L (Labeled L String)
                                                                            :: MAC H (Labeled H String)
  output msg
                                                              output msq
```

If we call $add_location$ with a weather report, then it prints out a message in the public channel.

```
> let weather = MkLabeled "Sunny, 31 degrees, " :: Labeled L String
in runMAC (add_location weather)
public channel : Sunny, 31 degrees, Gothenburg
```

By contrast, if we call $print_cc$ with a credit card number, then it sends the credit card digits to the secret channel.

```
> let cc_number = MkLabeled 1234 :: Labeled H Int
in runMAC (print_cc cc_number)
private channel : CC number 1234
```

Observe that the implementation of *output* depends on the <u>type</u> of the labeled value taken as argument, i.e. *output* is overloaded. Your task is to extend the definitions of $MAC\ l\ a$, (\gg), and runMAC to include the primitive *output* in the EDSL. (8p)